



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,647	12/01/2000	Shaikh Ghaleb Mohammad Yassin Alhamad	VI-CIP 5	5958
75	90 11/27/2002			
Charles E. Cates			EXAMINER	
Cates & Holloway P.O. BOX 1532			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
6340 E. Thomas	s Road			<del></del>
Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1532			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	- )
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS	
	Applicati n N .	pplicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/728,647		ALHAMAD, SHAIKH GHALEB MOHAMMAD YASSIN	
•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William P. Watkins III	1772		
The MAILING DATE f this communication ap	pears n the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2002 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this at timely filed amendment	application. A proper reply t which places the applicat	/ to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b	)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH: the date on which the petition under dof extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statutory period stat	e mailing date of the final rejections S OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  T 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate amount of the fee. The appropriate or reply originally set in the final of	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	t's Brief must be filed within FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt		earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims	<b>S.</b>	
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has beer See Continuation Sheet.	n considered but does NO	Γ place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were	e newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊡ will not be entere would be rejected is provide	ed or b) $oxtimes$ will be entered a ed below or appended.	ind an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Exami	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)		

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the instant claims do not have the thickness limitations relied upon by the CAFC to define a different material than expanded metal. Same rejections will be maintained against the claims with the amended net language upon appeal.

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III

Million O. Medicult